Exhibit B

Date Filed 5/21/2025 9:51 AM Superior Court - Suffolk Docket Number

erior Court - Suffolk ket Number	DOCKET NUMBER	Magaaahiiaatta	Trial Ca	
CIVIL ACTION COVER SHEET	DOCKET NOWIBER	Massachusetts	i riai Co	ourt
CIVIL ACTION COVER SHEET		Superior Court		
Disinstiff Andrew Hingeley	Defendant	COUNTY Suffolk Supe		
ADDRESS: 371 N Shore Road	Defendant:	420 Waiakamilo Road		lawaii News Now
ADDRESS: 371 N Shore Road Revere, MA 02151	ADDRESS: Suite 205	420 Walakamilo Road	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Nevere, MA 02131	Honolulu,	H 06817		
Plaintiff Attorney: Pro Se	Defendant			
ADDRESS:	ADDRESS:	-tiorney.		
ADDRESS.	ADDINESS.			
BBO:	BBO:			
	TRACK DESIGNATION (see in	structions section on next	page)	
CODE NO. TYPE OF ACTION				BEEN MADE?
B04 Defamation		ast Track) YES	□ N	0
*If "Other" please describe:				
Is there a claim under G.L. c. 9	3A?	Is there a class action	under Ma	ss. R. Civ. P. 23?
☐ YES ☑ NO		YES N	10	
<u>STATEME</u>	NT OF DAMAGES REQUIRED	BY G.L. c. 212, § 3A		
The following is a full, itemized and detailed statement of the			relies to det	ermine money damages.
(Note to plaintiff: for this form, do not state double or treble of	lamages; indicate single damage TORT CLAIMS	s only.)		
A. Documented medical expenses to date	TORT CLAIMS			
1. Total hospital expenses				
Total doctor expenses				
Total doctor expenses Total chiropractic expenses			-	·
, ,			-	
4. Total physical therapy expenses				
5. Total other expenses (describe below)			1	
]	
		Subtotal (1-5):		\$0.00
B. Documented lost wages and compensation to date				\$135,000.00
C. Documented property damages to date				\$9,600.00
D. Reasonably anticipated future medical and hospital expe	nses			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
E. Reasonably anticipated lost wages				
F. Other documented items of damages (describe below)				\$20,000.00
Loss of liquidated assets due to reputational harm and job l	oss			
		TOTAL (A-F):	\$1	64,600.00
G. Briefly describe plaintiff's injury, including the nature and	extent of the injury:			
Plaintiff suffered reputational harm and economic losses as signing bonus, rent burden, and liquidated assets.	a result of defamatory publication	n. This includes loss of emplo	oyment, los	t wages, loss of a
agining bonds, rent barden, and inquidated assets.	CONTRACT CLAIMS			
This action includes a claim involving collection of a		ving credit agreement. Mass.	R. Civ. P. 8	3.1(a).
Item# Det	ailed Description of Each Claim			Amount
1.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
			Total	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Signature of Attorney/Self-Represented Plaintiff: X /s/ Andre			Date:	05/21/2025
RELATED ACTIONS: Please provide the case number, case name, and county of any related actions pending in the Superior Court.				
CERTIFICATION UNDER S.J.C. RULE 1:18(5) I hereby certify that I have complied with requirements of Rule 5 of Supreme Judicial Court Rule 1:18: Uniform Rules on Dispute Resolution, requiring that I inform my clients about				
court-connected dispute resolution services and discuss with them				, 5,,5,,5,,5
Signature of Attorney: X			Date:	

1 SC0001: 02/24 www.mass.gov/courts Date/Time Printed:05-21-2025 09:27:37

Date Filed 5/21/2025 9:51 AM Superior Court - Suffolk Docket Number

CIVIL ACTION COVER SHEET INSTRUCTIONS — SELECT A CATEGORY THAT BEST DESCRIBES YOUR CASE*

AC Actions Involving the State/Municipal	<u>ity</u> †*	ER Equitable Remedies		RP Real Property	
AA1 Contract Action involving Commonwealth		D01 Specific Performance of a Contract	(A)	C01 Land Taking	(F)
Municipality, MBTA, etc.	(A)	D02 Reach and Apply	(F)	C02 Zoning Appeal, G.L. c. 40A	(F)
AB1 Tortious Action involving Commonwealth		D03 Injunction	(F)	C03 Dispute Concerning Title	(F)
Municipality, MBTA, etc.	(A)	D04 Reform/ Cancel Instrument	(F)	C04 Foreclosure of a Mortgage	(X)
AC1 Real Property Action involving	(4)	D05 Equitable Replevin	(F)	C05 Condominium Lien & Charges	(X)
Commonwealth, Municipality, MBTA etc.	. (A)	D06 Contribution or Indemnification	(F)	C99 Other Real Property Action	(F)
AD1 Equity Action involving Commonwealth, Municipality, MBTA, etc.	(A)	D07 Imposition of a Trust D08 Minority Shareholder's Suit	(A) (A)	MC Miscellaneous Civil Actions	•
AE1 Administrative Action involving	(//)	D09 Interference in Contractual Relationship	(F)	MIC MISCEILATIEOUS CIVII ACTIONS	2
Commonwealth, Municipality, MBTA,etc.	(A)	D10 Accounting	(A)	E18 Foreign Discovery Proceeding	(X)
Commonwealth, Marinoipanty, MB 17 (,ctc.	. (7 1)	D11 Enforcement of Restrictive Covenant	(F)	E97 Prisoner Habeas Corpus	(X)
CN Contract/Business Cases		D12 Dissolution of a Partnership	(F)	E22 Lottery Assignment, G.L. c. 10, § 28	(X)
		D13 Declaratory Judgment, G.L. c. 231A	(A)		()
A01 Services, Labor, and Materials	(F)	D14 Dissolution of a Corporation	(F)	AB Abuse/Harassment Prevention	<u>on</u>
A02 Goods Sold and Delivered	(F)	D99 Other Equity Action	(F)		
A03 Commercial Paper	(F)			E15 Abuse Prevention Petition, G.L. c. 209A	
A04 Employment Contract	(F)	PA Civil Actions Involving Incarcerated P	arty ‡	E21 Protection from Harassment, G.L. c. 258	3E(X)
A05 Consumer Revolving Credit - M.R.C.P. 8.1	(F)				
A06 Insurance Contract	(F)	PA1 Contract Action involving an		AA Administrative Civil Actions	<u>s</u>
A08 Sale or Lease of Real Estate	(F)	Incarcerated Party	(A)		
A12 Construction Dispute	(A)	PB1 Tortious Action involving an		E02 Appeal from Administrative Agency,	
A14 Interpleader	(F)	Incarcerated Party	(A)	G.L. c. 30A	(X)
BA1 Governance, Conduct, Internal Affairs of Entities	(4)	PC1 Real Property Action involving an	(E)	E03 Certiorari Action, G.L. c. 249, § 4	(X)
BA3 Liability of Shareholders, Directors,	(A)	Incarcerated Party PD1 Equity Action involving an	(F)	E05 Confirmation of Arbitration Awards E06 Mass Antitrust Act, G.L. c. 93, § 9	(X) (A)
Officers, Partners, etc.	(A)	Incarcerated Party	(F)	E07 Mass Antitrust Act, G.L. c. 93, § 9	(X)
BB1 Shareholder Derivative	(A)	PE1 Administrative Action involving an	(1)	E08 Appointment of a Receiver	(X)
BB2 Securities Transactions	(A)	Incarcerated Party	(F)	E09 Construction Surety Bond, G.L. c. 149,	(/\)
BC1 Mergers, Consolidations, Sales of	()		(- /	§§ 29, 29A	(A)
Assets, Issuance of Debt, Equity, etc.	(A)	TR Torts		E10 Summary Process Appeal	(X)
BD1 Intellectual Property	(A)			E11 Worker's Compensation	(X)
BD2 Proprietary Information or Trade		B03 Motor Vehicle Negligence - Personal		E16 Auto Surcharge Appeal	(X)
Secrets	(A)	Injury/Property Damage	(F)	E17 Civil Rights Act, G.L. c.12, § 11H	(A)
BG1 Financial Institutions/Funds	(A)	B04 Other Negligence - Personal		E24 Appeal from District Court	
BH1 Violation of Antitrust or Trade		Injury/Property Damage	(F)	Commitment, G.L. c.123, § 9(b)	(X)
Regulation Laws	(A)	B05 Products Liability	(A)	E94 Forfeiture, G.L. c. 265, § 56	(X)
A99 Other Contract/Business Action - Specify	/ (F)	B06 Malpractice - Medical	(A)	E95 Forfeiture, G.L. c. 94C, § 47	(F)
*0.0.10.10.11.01.4001		B07 Malpractice - Other	(A)	E99 Other Administrative Action	(X)
* See Superior Court Standing Order 1-88 for		B08 Wrongful Death - Non-medical B15 Defamation	(A) (A)	Z01 Medical Malpractice - Tribunal only, G.L. c. 231, § 60B	(E)
explanation of the tracking deadlines for each designation: F, A, and X. On this page, the tra		B19 Asbestos	(A) (A)	Z02 Appeal Bond Denial	(F) (X)
designation for each case type is noted in	ICK	B20 Personal Injury - Slip & Fall	(F)	202 Appeal Bollu Dellial	(^)
parentheses.		B21 Environmental	(F)	SO Sex Offender Review	
parentineses.		B22 Employment Discrimination	(F)	OU OUN OTHER PROPERTY	
†* Choose this case type if ANY party is the		BE1 Fraud, Business Torts, etc.	(A)	E12 SDP Commitment, G.L. c. 123A, § 12	(X)
Commonwealth, a municipality, the MBTA, or	anv	B99 Other Tortious Action	(F)	E14 SDP Petition, G.L. c. 123A, § 9(b)	(X)
other governmental entity UNLESS your case					
case type listed under Administrative Civil Act	tions	RP Summary Process (Real Property)		RC Restricted Civil Actions	
(AA).					
		S01 Summary Process - Residential	(X)	E19 Sex Offender Registry, G.L. c. 6, § 178M	
Choose this case type if ANY party is an		S02 Summary Process - Commercial/	<i>(</i> =)	E27 Minor Seeking Consent, G.L. c.112, § 12	2S(X)
incarcerated party, UNLESS your case is a ca		Non-residential	(F)		
type listed under Administrative Civil Actions	(AA)				
or is a Prisoner Habeas Corpus case (E97).		TRANSFER YOUR SELECTION TO THE FAC	CE SHEET		
EXAMPLE:					
CODE NO. TYPI	E OF	ACTION (specify) TRACK	HAS A J	URY CLAIM BEEN MADE?	
B03 Motor Vehicle	Veglig	ence-Personal Injury <u>F</u> .	⊠ Y	ES NO	

STATEMENT OF DAMAGES REQUIRED BY G.L. c. 212, § 3A

DUTY OF THE PLAINTIFF — On the face of the Civil Action Cover Sheet (or on attached additional sheets, if necessary), the plaintiff shall state the facts on which the plaintiff relies to determine money damages. A copy of the completed Civil Action Cover Sheet, including the statement concerning damages, shall be served with the complaint. A clerk-magistrate shall not accept for filing a complaint, except as otherwise provided by law, unless it is accompanied by such a statement signed by the attorney or self-represented litigant.

DUTY OF THE DEFENDANT — If the defendant believes that the statement of damages filed by the plaintiff is inadequate, the defendant may file with the defendant's answer a statement specifying the potential damages which may result if the plaintiff prevails.

A CIVIL ACTION COVER SHEET MUST BE FILED WITH EACH COMPLAINT.
IF THIS COVER SHEET IS NOT FILLED OUT THOROUGHLY AND
ACCURATELY, THE CASE MAY BE DISMISSED.

Date Filed 5/21/2025 9:51 AM Superior Court - Suffolk Docket Number

ER

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS SUFFOLK, ss. IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

ANDREW HINESLEY,
Plaintiff,
v.
Gray Television, Inc.
d/b/a Hawaii New Now,
Defendant.
Case No ·

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

- 1. Plaintiff seeks a preliminary injunction to compel Defendant to remove or update the defamatory article.
- 2. Plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits of the case.
- 3. Plaintiff is suffering irreparable harm from reputational and economic damage.
- 4. The balance of hardships favors Plaintiff.
- 5. The public interest supports the relief requested.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests this Court grant a preliminary injunction.

Dated: 05/21/2025 Respectfully submitted,

1s.1 Andrew Hinesley

371 N. Shore Road Revere, MA 02151 808-773-4074

SUMMONS AND	O ORDER OF NOTICE	DOCKET NUMBER 2584CV01379	Trial Court of Massachusetts The Superior Court		
CASE NAME: Hinesley, Andrew Wayne vs. Gray Television, Inc. d/b/a Hawaii New		John E Powers, III Suffolk County Civil			
Now To: Gray Television,	Inc. d/b/a Hawaii New Now		COURT NAME & ADDRESS Suffolk County Superior Court - Civil Suffolk County Courthouse, 12th Floor Three Pemberton Square Boston, MA 02108		
	med defendant(s): nereby summoned and req Pro \$	•			
	,				
service of this su taken against you in the office of the time thereafter. Unless of may have agains plaintiff's claim of WE ALSO NOTI	mmons upon you, exclusivu for the relief demanded in the Clerk of this Court at Bostherwise provided by Rule at the plaintiff which arises ryou will thereafter be barr	ye of the day of service. If yon the complaint. You are also ston either before service up 13(a), your answer must state out of the transaction or occored from making such claims	This must be done within 20 days after ou fail to do so, Judgment by default will be so required to file your answer to the complaint pon plaintiff's attorney or within a reasonable ate as a counterclaim any claim which you currence that is the subject matter of the in any other action. In, as it appears in the complaint. A hearing on		
		Date: 05/27/2025	3		
		Time: 02:00 PM			
Session/ Cour	troom Location:	Event Type: In Person			
	ВС	OS-10th FL, CR 1006 (SC	C)		
at which time you may appear and show cause why such application should not be granted.					
DATE ISSUED CH	IEF JUSTICE OF THE SUPERIOR COUL		- jevi		
'''	tness: on. Michael D Ricciuti		X WARYNIM BUCKLY		
		RE URNO SERVICE			
I hereby certify an	d return that on	, I served a copy	of this summons, together with a copy of the		
Complaint.	Complaint. PARTY NAME:				
			X		
Date/Time Printed: 05-21-2025 11:26:	26		SCV027\ 09/2024		

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS SUFFOLK, ss. IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

ANDREW HINESLEY, Plaintiff,	
v.	
Gray Television, Inc.	•
d/b/a Hawaii New Now,	
Defendant.	
Case No.:	

SUFFOLK SUPERIOR COURT CIVIL CLERK'S OFFICE FILED

MAY 2 1 2025

JOHN E. POWERS, III CLERK MAGISTRATE

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO SEAL CIVIL COMPLAINT

NOW COMES the Plaintiff, Andrew Hinesley, and respectfully moves this Honorable Court to issue an order sealing the Complaint and all associated filings in this matter from public access pursuant to Massachusetts Uniform Rules on Impoundment Procedure, Mass. R. Civ. P. 7(b), and the inherent authority of this Court to protect sensitive information.

In support of this motion, Plaintiff states as follows:

- 1. This action arises from a defamatory article published online by the Defendants that falsely implies criminal conduct, despite the dismissal of all charges and expungement of the Plaintiff's record.
- 2. The public availability of the complaint—which necessarily includes references to the underlying false allegations—amplifies the harm Plaintiff seeks to remedy, including the continued damage to his reputation and the loss of current and future employment opportunities.
- 3. Plaintiff is a private citizen, a 100% service-connected disabled veteran, and a new father, who has suffered irreparable harm from the republication of expunged information and seeks redress without further public exposure of sensitive details.
- 4. The underlying criminal matter was expunged under Massachusetts law, and thus any further dissemination—especially through a publicly accessible court docket—risks violating the spirit and protections of the expungement statute.

- 5. There is no legitimate public interest in publicizing the particulars of this litigation at this early stage that outweighs the Plaintiff's substantial interest in privacy, safety, and reputation.
- 6. Plaintiff requests that the Complaint, civil cover sheet, summons, and any other initial filings be sealed until further order of the Court, with redacted versions to be filed if and when appropriate.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court grant this Motion to Seal and enter the accompanying [Proposed] Order.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 05/21/2025 Respectfully submitted,

1s.1 Andrew Hinesley

371 N. Shore Road Revere, MA 02151 808-773-4074

5

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS SUFFOLK, ss. IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

ANDREW HINESLEY, Plaintiff, v. Gray Television, Inc. d/b/a Hawaii New Now, Defendant.

Case No.: 2584CV01379

)

EX PARTE MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PERMIT ALTERNATIVE SERVICE

NOW COMES the Plaintiff, Andrew Hinesley, in the above captioned matter and respectfully moves this Honorable Court on an ex parte basis to rule on Plaintiff's previously filed Motion for Alternative Service.

As grounds for this request, Plaintiff states as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff filed a Complaint and Motion for Preliminary Injunction in this matter on May 21, 2025.
- 2. The Court has scheduled an hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction for Tuesday, May 27, 2025, at 2:00 PM.
- 3. Plaintiff immediately served the Summons and Complaint by certified mail with return receipt requested to the Defendant's registered agent; however, the expected delivery date is also Tuesday, May 27, 2025.
- 4. Plaintiff filed a Motion for Alternative Service on May 21, 2025, seeking leave to serve the Defendant via email to publicly listed legal and executive representatives of the Defendant corporation.
- 5. Due to the urgent nature of the relief sought at the upcoming hearing, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court review and rule on the Motion for Alternative Service prior to the May 27 hearing date.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Grant this Ex Parte Motion for Leave to Permit Alternative Service;
- B. Allow Plaintiff to serve the Summons and Complaint via email as requested in Plaintiff's Motion for Alternative Service; and

C. Grant such further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: 05/22/2025 Respectfully submitted,

1s.1 Andrew Hinesley

371 N. Shore Road Revere, MA 02151 808-773-4074

6

SR

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS SUFFOLK, ss. IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

ANDREW HINESLEY, Plaintiff, v. Gray Television, Inc. d/b/a Hawaii New Now, Defendant.

Case No.: 2584CV01379

MOTION FOR ALTERNATIVE SERVICE

Now comes the Plaintiff, Andrew Hinesley, and respectfully moves this Honorable Court for an order permitting alternative service of the Summons and Complaint in the above-captioned matter. In support of this motion, the Plaintiff states as follows:

1. Procedural Background:

On 05/21/2025, this Court scheduled an emergency hearing for 05/27/2025 at 2:00pm. The Plaintiff was notified of the court date on 05/21/2025 around 11:00am.

2. Efforts to Serve Defendant:

On 05/21/2025, the Plaintiff promptly mailed the Summons and Complaint to the Defendant via certified mail, return receipt requested, in accordance with Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure.

3. Expected Delivery and Timing Issues:

The expected delivery date of the certified mail is 05/27/2025, which coincides with the hearing date. Given this timing, there is a risk that the Defendant may not receive the documents in time to prepare for the hearing, and the Plaintiff will not be able to confirm service before the hearing. Tracking numbers with USPS are 9589071052702157509693 and 9590940292864295654688.

4. Request for Alternative Service:

In light of these circumstances, the Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court permit alternative service by email to the Defendant at the email addresses below or another method deemed appropriate by the Court. This will ensure timely and effective notice to the Defendant.

Date Filed 5/21/2025 4:29 PM Superior Court - Suffolk Docket Number 2584CV01379

Hawaii News Now

Matt Piacente

News Director

Email: Matt.Piacente@HawaiiNewsNow.com

· Kathryn Pickman

General Manager

Email: KPickman@HawaiiNewsNow.com

Gray Television (Parent Company)

Ellenann Benefield Yelverton
 Senior Vice President, General Counsel
 Email: ellenann.yelverton@gray.tv

5. Source Contact Information

The Plaintiff obtained the Defendant's email addresses from the official website of Hawaii News Now and from public corporate records associated with Gray Television, Inc., and believes these addresses are valid for service and general business correspondence. Below are the links used by the plaintiff.

- a) https://www.linkedin.com/in/ellenann-benefield-yelverton-8778a09b/
- b) https://mcbar.org/members/?id=64072462
- c) https://www.hawaiinewsnow.com/about-us/
- d) https://www.hawaiinewsnow.com/hnn-news-department/

6. Good Faith Efforts:

The Plaintiff has made diligent efforts to serve the Defendant in a timely manner and seeks this alternative service method to avoid any undue delay or prejudice.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays that this Honorable Court grant this motion and authorize service of the Summons and Complaint via email or another suitable method, and for such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: 05/21/2025
Respectfully submitted,

371 N. Shore Road

Revere, MA 02151

808-773-4074

Andrew.hinesley@icloud.com

1s.1 Andrew Hinesley

Date Filed 5/22/2025 7:24 AM Superior Court - Suffolk Docket Number 2584CV01379

ER

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS SUFFOLK, ss. IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

ANDREW HINESLEY, Plaintiff, v. Gray Television, Inc. d/b/a Hawaii New Now, Defendant.

Case No.: 2584CV01379

[PROPOSED] ORDER ON EX PARTE MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PERMIT ALTERNATIVE SERVICE

This matter came before the Court on Plaintiff's Ex Parte Motion for Leave to Permit Alternative Service.

After review of the Plaintiff's motion and supporting statements, and for good cause shown, it is hereby ORDERED:

- 1. Plaintiff's Ex Parte Motion for Leave to Permit Alternative Service is ALLOWED;
- 2. Plaintiff is granted leave to serve the Summons and Complaint via email to the following individuals:
 - a. General Counsel for Gray Television, Inc.
 - b. News Director of Hawaii News Now
 - c. President or General Manager of Hawaii News Now
- 3. Such service shall be deemed sufficient and effective for purposes of the Preliminary Injunction hearing scheduled for May 27, 2025.

So Ordered.		
Justice of the Superior Court		
Date:		

Date Filed 5/21/2025 4:29 PM
Superior Court - Suffolk
Docket Number 2584CV01379

NOTIFY

SR

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS SUFFOLK, ss. IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

ANDREW HINESLEY, Plaintiff, v. Gray Television, Inc. d/b/a Hawaii New Now, Defendant.

Case No.: 2584CV01379

MOTION FOR ALTERNATIVE SERVICE

Now comes the Plaintiff, Andrew Hinesley, and respectfully moves this Honorable Court for an order permitting alternative service of the Summons and Complaint in the above-captioned matter. In support of this motion, the Plaintiff states as follows:

1. Procedural Background:

On 05/21/2025, this Court scheduled an emergency hearing for 05/27/2025 at 2:00pm. The Plaintiff was notified of the court date on 05/21/2025 around 11:00am.

2. Efforts to Serve Defendant:

On 05/21/2025, the Plaintiff promptly mailed the Summons and Complaint to the Defendant via certified mail, return receipt requested, in accordance with Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure.

3. Expected Delivery and Timing Issues:

The expected delivery date of the certified mail is 05/27/2025, which coincides with the hearing date. Given this timing, there is a risk that the Defendant may not receive the documents in time to prepare for the hearing, and the Plaintiff will not be able to confirm service before the hearing. Tracking numbers with USPS are 9589071052702157509693 and 9590940292864295654688.

4. Request for Alternative Service:

In light of these circumstances, the Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court permit alternative service by email to the Defendant at the email addresses below or another method deemed appropriate by the Court. This will ensure timely and effective notice to the Defendant.

Date Filed 5/23/2025 8:58 AM Superior Court - Suffolk Docket Number 2584CV01379

ML

11

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS SUFFOLK, ss. IN THE SUPERIOR COURT

ANDREW HINESLEY, Plaintiff, v. Gray Television, Inc. d/b/a Hawaii New Now, Defendant.

Case No.: 2584CV01379

AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DEFAMATION AND INVASION OF PRIVACY

- 1. Plaintiff Andrew Hinesley brings this action against Defendant for defamation and invasion of privacy under Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 214, § 1B.
- 2. Jurisdiction is proper as Plaintiff resides in Massachusetts and the harm occurred within this jurisdiction.
- 3. Venue is appropriate because Plaintiff lives in Massachusetts and the harm, including a rescinded job offer, occurred in Massachusetts.

FACTS

- 4. Defendant published an article publicly associating Plaintiff with serious criminal charges, which has not been corrected despite numerous good faith attempts from Plaintiff to provide documentation showing changed circumstances and clarifying facts.
- 5. Plaintiff offered documentation that another individual was ultimately convicted in connection with the same investigation, but Defendant has refused to correct the article.
- 6. Plaintiff has suffered significant reputational, emotional, and financial harm because of the continued public availability of the outdated article.

Date Filed 5/23/2025 8:58 AM Superior Court - Suffolk Docket Number 2584CV01379

CLAIMS

COUNT I – DEFAMATION

- 7. Defendant's continued publication of materially misleading information, in light of subsequent legal developments and documentation provided by Plaintiff, constitutes defamation causing ongoing harm.
- 8. The statements made in the article were not only damaging but were made without incorporating or considering material updates to the underlying legal proceedings.

COUNT II – INVASION OF PRIVACY

- 9. Defendant's publication and continued availability of the article constitutes an unreasonable, substantial, and serious interference with Plaintiff's privacy.
- 10. The article discloses information that, while initially based on public records, has become materially misleading due to subsequent legal outcomes. The ongoing public availability of the article without any acknowledgment of those outcomes constitutes an invasion of privacy that continues to cause substantial personal harm. Plaintiff does not seek to suppress lawful reporting or petitioning activity, but to address the continued harm stemming from the Defendant's refusal to update or remove misleading and outdated content.
- 11. Defendant's refusal to acknowledge the conviction of another individual or to remove the outdated article, despite Plaintiff's repeated good-faith outreach, constitutes a continued invasion of privacy. The continued availability of materially misleading information has caused and continues to cause reputational and economic harm.

DAMAGES AND REQUEST FOR RELIEF

- 12. Plaintiff has suffered:
- a. One-year lost salary: \$125,000.00
- b. \$10,000 lost signing bonus
- c. \$400/month rent for 2 years: \$9,600d. Loss of liquidated assets: \$20,000
- 13. Plaintiff requests the following relief:
- a. Compensatory damages
- b. Injunctive relief to remove or correct the article
- c. Any additional relief the Court deems just and proper

Date Filed 5/23/2025 8:58 AM Superior Court - Suffolk Docket Number 2584CV01379

14. Plaintiff acknowledges the importance of a free press and the reporting of matters of public concern. This action is brought solely to address the continued reputational and economic harm caused by materially outdated and misleading content. Plaintiff has taken reasonable and ethical steps to resolve the matter informally prior to filing this complaint, and does not seek to deter or punish the exercise of lawful petitioning or protected speech

Dated: 05/23/2025 Respectfully submitted,

1s.1 Andrew Hinesley

371 N. Shore Road Revere, MA 02151 808-773-4074